

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,605	DOOLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie A. Royds	1614	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Leslie A. Royds.

(3) _____.

 (2) Paul Misiak.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2007
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative confirmed in a telephone interview on June 27, 2007 that a response to the final rejection of December 11, 2006 has not been filed with the Office and that the application has been abandoned in favor of a continuation application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)